# Dimensions of Emotional Intelligence and Transformational Leadership: A Correlation Analysis

John N. N. Ugoani, PhD

Department of Management Sciences, College of Management and Social Sciences Rhema University, 153 – 155, Aba Owerri-Road PMB 7021 Aba, Abia State, Nigeria

\*Correspondence author email: drjohnugoani@yahoo.com

**ABSTRACT:** The study was designed to explore the degree of relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership style. Goleman who popularized the concept of the science of emotional intelligence and brought it to its academic zenith drew on a wealth of research to argue that successful leaders need emotional intelligence, or the attributes of self-awareness, impulse control, persistence, confidence, self-motivation empathy, social deftness, trust worthiness, adaptability, and a talent of collaboration. Data were generated through 5 – point Likert-type questionnaire based on Schutte, Self Report questionnaire. Pearson's correlation analysis was carried out through the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, and a strong positive correlation of r = .90, was found between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership style.

**Keywords:** diversity, inspirational motivation, commitment, emotional intelligence, transformational leadership.

#### INTRODUCTION

Despite the comparatively recent phenomenon of the science of emotional intelligence (EI), pioneering academic studies find significant positive relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. It is suggested that effective leaders possess emotional intelligence, which is the ability to recognize and manage emotion in one's self and in others. Goleman (1995) posits that emotional intelligence is made up of several competencies including self - awareness, motivation, self-regulation, empathy and relationship management. He proposes a mixed model of emotional intelligence in terms of performance, integrating an individual's ability and personality and applying their corresponding effects on performance in the workplace. Salovey & Mayer's (1990) conceptualization of emotional intelligence is based within the context of intelligence theory. Their pure theory of emotional intelligence integrates key ideas from the fields of intelligence and emotion. From intelligence theory comes the idea that intelligence involves the ability to carry out abstract reasoning. They propose that emotional intelligence is comprised of the ability to perceive, respond, manipulate, understand and manage emotional information without experiencing them. Bar - On (1997) put forth a model of emotional intelligence based within the context of personality theory, emphasizing the codependence of the ability aspects of the construct with personality traits and their application to personal wellbeing, (Payne, 1983, Petrides & Furnham, 2003, 2009, Bass, 1998, 2002, Goleman, et al. 2002). In a previous research, Mandel & Pherwani (2003) find significant relationship (R = .50) between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. According to Harms &, Crede (2010) an information package by Multi – Health systems claims that emotional intelligence is synonymous with good leadership. Goleman (1998) who popularized the concept and science of emotional intelligence and brought it to its academic zenith posits that emotional intelligence is highly positively correlated with effective leadership. Cherniss & Goleman (2001) also assert that about 90 percent of leadership success is accounted for by emotional intelligence. A foremost advocate of the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership, Bass, (2002) in a classic study finds reasonable positive correlation between the two variables. (Antonakis, 2004, Ashkanasy, & Daus, 2005, Barling, et al, 2000). While many studies into the relationship between emotional and transformational leadership find high levels of positivecorrelation others have come out with marginal or low levels of association. For example, Harms & Crede (2010) in their meta – analysis find the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership to be "quite low". They state that "Overall, our results linking EI and transformational leadership variables were not as strong or as compelling as advocates of EI testing predicted" Also, in a related research, Modassir & Singh (2008) only find a "moderate relationship" between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. Some scholars take the positive results as a proof of the predictive validity of EI while some others are completely undecided. (Locke, 2005). In a situation where the results of empirical research including a meta - analysis are completely in a state of confusion, it becomes clear that such results are due to some errors of study. To arrive at accurate results researchers must use appropriate instruments like self – reports or performance data in assessing the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership (Harms & Crede, 2010). In an attempt to address part of the confusion raised in previous studies and in particular the work of Harms & Crede (2010), this study used a simple correlation analysis to evaluate the degree of relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership, This is imperative because Landy (2005) proposes that the reason why some studies find small increases in predictive validity is in fact a methodological fallacy. (Barbuto & Burbach, 2006, Hayashi & Ewert, 2006, Hoffman & Frost 2006, Bennis, 1976, Carmeli, 2003, Kreitner & Kinicki, 2004)

# Emotional Intelligence

Intelligence quotients (I.Q's) were developed and used during the initial part of the 20th century as measures of intelligence. Psychologists later pioneered other modern intelligence testing movement and the validity of I.Q was soon challenged on the grounds that it did not consider situational factors such as environment or socio - cultural setting while predicting achievement. Theorists began to hypothesize that perhaps cognitive intelligence as measured by I. Q tests did not encompass intelligence in its entirety, but that perhaps several types of intelligence could coincide within one person. Gardner (1983) again raised the issue of the theory of multiple intelligences which dictated that individuals possess aptitudes in several areas. These intelligences were thought by Gardner to be as important as the type of intelligence typically measured by IQ tests. As is the case with all related constructs such as leadership and personality several schools of thought exist which aim to most accurately describe and measure the notion of emotional intelligence. Salovey & Mayer (1990) who originally used the term "emotional intelligence" define it as "A form of intelligence that involves the ability to monitor one's own and others' feelings and emotions to discriminate among them, and to use this information to guide one's thinking and actions. At the most general level however, emotional intelligence refers to the ability to recognize and regulate emotions in ourselves and others. Goleman's (1995) Emotional Intelligence theory includes both non - intellective and intellective elements of intelligence. The non intellective elements include: affective personal and social factors. Regardless of the differences between definitions of emotional intelligence, it is very clear that what is being referred to is distinct from standard intelligence, or IQ. Although the concept of emotional intelligence has different definitions it describes the ability, capacity, skill or, in the case of the trait emotional intelligence model, a self – perceived ability to identify, assess and manage emotions of one's self, of others, and of groups. The idea of emotional intelligence lies in a handful of basic principles identified by Salovey and Mayer (1990) that they used to test how well people could identify emotions in faces, abstract designs and colours from which they wrote articles about something called "emotional intelligence". According to Goleman (1995) emotionally intelligent people have the ability to control their emotional impulses at least more so than those who are not emotionally intelligent, they have the self awareness to know what they are feeling, and are able to think about and express those things, they have empathy for the feelings of others and insight into how others think, they can do things like delay gratification, they are optimistic and generally positive; they understand easily the dynamics of a given group and, most importantly, where they fit inside that group. Because of differences in definitions, different models of emotional intelligence have led to the development of various instruments for the assessment of the construct. While some of these measures may over-lap most researchers agree that they tap slightly different constructs, such as leadership. The current measure of Mayer and Salovey's model of emotional intelligence, the Mayer -Salovey - Caruso' Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) is based on a series of emotion-based-problemsolving items. The model introduced by Goleman (1995) focuses on emotional intelligence as a wide array of competencies and skills that drive leadership performance. The model outlines four main emotional intelligence constructs: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness and relationship management. He includes a set of emotional competencies within each construct of emotional intelligence, and suggests that emotional competencies are not innate talents, but rather learned capabilities that must be developed to achieve outstanding performance. He posits that individuals are born with a general emotional intelligence that determines their potential for learning emotional competencies. Bar - On (1997) defines emotional intelligence as being concerned with effectively understanding one's self and others, relating well to people, and adapting to and coping with the immediate surroundings to be more successful in dealing with environmental demands. Bar - On (1997) posits that emotional intelligence develops over time and that it can be improved through training, programming, and therapy. He hypothesizes that those individuals with higher than average emotional intelligence are in general more successful in meeting environmental demands and pressures. He also notes that a deficiency in emotional intelligence can mean a lack of success and the existence of emotional problems. Problems in coping with one's environment are thought by Bar-On, to be especially common among those individuals lacking in emotional intelligence competencies like reality testing, problem-solving, stress tolerance; and impulse control and general mood. In general, he considers emotional intelligence to contribute equally to a person's general intelligence which then offers an indication of one's potential to succeed in positions of importance. On the other hand Petrides (2009) Trait Emotional Intelligence Model is general and subsumes the Goleman (1995) and Bar-On (1997) models. The conceptualization of emotional intelligence as a personality trait leads to a construct that lies outside the taxonomy of human cognitive ability. This is an important distinction in as much as it bears directly on the operationalization of the construct and the theories and hypothesizes that are formulated about it. (Matthews' et al, 2007, Petrides' et al, 2007, Brody, 2004, Cote & Miners, 2006, Roberts, et al, 2001, Vernon, et al, 2008). One of the major benefits of the conceptualization of emotional intelligence is the potential for establishing casual relationships among the various levels of a person's psyche. Boyatzis (1994) followed an often described causal link between the unconscious motive and trait level of personality to the social role and self-image level to the behavioural levels. Need for power and leadership predicts frequency of demonstration of influence behaviours such as those evident in the competencies of the social skills cluster. According to Goleman (1995) emotional intelligence is a convenient phrase with which to focus attention on human talent and that it incorporates the complexity of a person's capability and posits that emotional intelligence is highly predictive of success. Despite differences in measurement methods all 3 major researchers on emotional intelligence agree that it provides the bedrock for competencies necessary for superior outcomes. Goleman (1995) represents this idea by making a distinction between emotional intelligence and emotional competencies. Emotional competence refers to the personal and social skills that lead to superior performance in work and other challenging situations like leadership. The emotional competencies are linked to and based on emotional intelligence. A certain level of emotional intelligence is necessary to learn the emotional competencies. For instance, the ability to recognize accurately what another person is feeling enables one to develop a specific competency such as influence, which provides the platform for transformational leadership (Cherniss, 2000).

# Emotional Intelligence Models

According to Goleman (2001) early theorists such as Gardner (1983) paved the way for the current experts in the field of emotional intelligence. Each theoretical paradigm conceptualizes emotional intelligence from one of two perspectives: ability or mixed model. Ability models regard emotional intelligence as a pure form of mental ability and thus as a pure intelligence. In contrast, mixed models of emotional intelligence combine mental ability with personality characteristics such as optimism and well-being. Currently the only ability model of emotional intelligence is the Mayer & Salovey's (1997) four branch model. Two mixed models of emotional intelligence have been postulated, each within a somewhat different conception. Bar-On (1997) has put forth a model based within the context of personality theory, emphasizing the co-dependence of the ability aspects of emotional intelligence with personality traits and their application to personal well – being. In contrast Goleman (2001) propounded a mixed model in terms of performance, integrating an individuals abilities and personality and applying their corresponding effects on performance in the workplace. Petrides & Furnham (2007), proposed the trait emotional intelligence model which is a constellation of emotion related self perceptions located at the lower levels of personality. Despite differences in models all the models other than none, suggest that emotional intelligence represents an ability to validly reason with emotions and to use emotions to enhance thought. Intelligence theorists posit that each of the models has a relationship with other related constructs like leadership and personality. (Lock, 2005, Roberts et al, 2001, Smith, et al, 2008).

# Emotional Intelligence Measures

Due to differences in models different measures of emotional intelligence exist. The current measure of Mayer & Salovey's (1997) model is the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (2002). The MSCEIT is designed for individuals 17 years of age or older and aims to measure the four abilities of perception, facilitation of thought, understanding and regulation outlined by the ability model of emotional intelligence. The MSCEIT is comprised of 141 items. The scale yields six scores = an overall emotional intelligence score, expressed as an emotional intelligence quotient, or EIQ. The Bar - On Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ -i), is a self -i report measure of emotional intelligence for individuals 16 years of age and over. Developed as a measure of emotionally and socially competent behaviour that provides an estimate of one's emotional and social intelligence. The Emotional Quotient Inventory is not meant to measure personality traits or cognitive capacity but rather to measure one's ability to be successful in dealing with environmental demands and pressures 133 items are used to obtain a Total Emotional Quotient and to produce five composite scales corresponding to the 5 main components of the Bar-On (1997) Model of Emotional Intelligence such as Interpersonal EQ, Adaptability EQ, Stress management EQ, Impulse Control EQ, and General mood EQ. The items are measured on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 to 5. Total raw scores are converted into standard scores with a mean of 100 and standard deviation of 15. Goleman (2001) developed the Emotional Competency Inventory (ECI) as a measure of emotional intelligence based on his emotional intelligence competencies. The Emotional Competency Inventory is a multi - rater (360 degree) instrument that provides self - report, direct report, and peer ratings on seizes of behavioural indicators of emotional intelligence. It measures 20 competencies organized into the four main constructs outlined by his Mixed Model of emotional intelligence: self-awareness, social awareness, self-management, and social skills. Each respondent is asked to describe themselves or other person on a scale ranging from 1 to 7. Petrides, et al, (2007) developed the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue). The TEIQue is an open – access measure of emotional intelligence that was specifically designed to measure the construct comprehensively. The TEIQue provides an operationalization for Petrides', et al, (2007) Model that conceptualizes emotional intelligence in terms of personality. The Test encompasses 15 subscales organized under four factors. Well being, Self-control, Emotionality, and Sociability. The TEIQue scores are positively related to some of the Big Five personality traits. (Brody 2004, Petrides & Furnham, 2009, Bar-On,1996)

# **Emotional Competence**

An emotional competence is a learned capacity based on emotional intelligence that results in outstanding performance at work. It involves emotional capacities and such critical elements as effective communication and being superb at influence, getting others to respond in a desired way. At the heart of this competence are two abilities: empathy, which involves reading the feelings of others, and social skills which allow handling those feelings artfully. Emotional intelligence determines the potential for learning the practical skills that are based on its five domains: self - awareness, motivation, self - regulation, empathy, and managing relationships. Emotional competence shows how much of EI potential that have been translated into on – the – jobs capabilities, for example, being good at serving customers is an emotional competence based on empathy: likewise, trustworthiness is a competence based on self - regulation or handling impulses and emotions well. Both customer service and trustworthiness are competencies that can make people outstanding in their work. Emotional competencies cluster into groups each based on a common underlying emotional intelligence capacity. The underlying emotional intelligence capacity is vital if people are to successfully learn the competencies necessary to succeed in the workplace (Abraham, 2004). If they are deficient in social skills, for instance, they will be inept at persuading or inspiring others, at leading teams or catalyzing change. If they have little self - awareness, they will be oblivious to their own weakness and lack the self - confidence that comes from certainty about their strengths. A classic study by Boyatzis (1994), suggests that in general emotional competencies play a far larger role in superior job performance than do cognitive abilities and technical expertise. (Goleman, 1998, Bellamy & Bellamy, 2003, Beshears, 2004, Brown, et al, 2006, Petrides & Kokkinaki, 2007)

# Five Dimensions of Emotional Intelligence

Goleman (1998) posits that the five dimensions of emotional intelligence are self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and relationship management subsumed in his four major EI scales . (Barzii & Slaski, 2003).

#### Self-awareness

Self-awareness occurs when the individual knows what he is feeling in the moment, and using those preferences to guide decision-making, having a realistic assessment of his own abilities and a well grounded sense of self-confidence.

# Self-regulation

This involves handling our emotions so that they facilitate rather than interfere with the task at hand; having conscientious and delaying gratification, to pursue goals; recovering well from emotional distress.

# Motivation

This dimension of emotional intelligence involves using available deepest preferences to move and guide the individual toward desired goals, to help in taking initiative and striving. To improve, and to persevere in the face of setbacks and frustration.

#### **Empathy**

This is related to sensing what other people are feeling, being able to take their perspective, and cultivating rapport and attunement with a broad diversity of people.

#### Relationship management

Relationship management manifests in handling emotions in relationships well and accurately reading social situations and networks, interacting smoothly; using these skills to persuade and lead, negotiate and settle disputes, for cooperation and teamwork. These dimensions of EI are critical for transformational leadership.

#### Transformational Leadership

Leadership is an interesting area in the field of organizational behaviour, one in which new studies are consistently springing up. One new important perspective of leadership is transformational leadership. Leadership is about relationships and influence (Maxwell, 2005). Transformational leaders inspire and excite followers to high levels of performance. Transformational leaders rely on their personal attributes instead of their official positions, they are visionary and have the capacity of converting their visions into reality (Kent, et al, 2001, Adair, 2003 Bass, 1990, Bennis, 1989, Bass, 1985) According to Bass & Avolio, (1994), transformational leadership adds to the effects of transactional leadership, but exceptional transactional leadership cannot substitute for transformational leadership. Research on leaders from over 200 organizations supports this idea (Bono & Judge, 2004) Transformational leadership is a process through which positive change or transformation is introduced to individuals and/or organizations. Bass & Avolio (1994) postulate five dimensions of transformational leadership to include idealized influence (attributed), idealized influence (behaviours), individual consideration, inspirational motivation, and intellectual stimulation. A transformational leader is the catalyst who transforms the subordinates' motivation to commitment and their commitment into exceptional achievements. A transformational leader transforms and creates meaning for his or her subordinates, a meaning that enhances the subordinates need for identity and does this by giving meaning and strengthening the concept of the self and by boosting their individual identity. (Modassir & Singh. 2008). Drawing from a wealth of research, these transformational leadership dimensions are identical with the major dimensions of emotional intelligence.

# Five Dimensions of Transformational Leadership Idealized influence (attributed)

Involves the socialized charisma of the leader whether or not he or she is perceived as being confident and committed to high order ideas. This occurs when leaders earn the trust and respect of their followers by doing the right thing rather than ensuring that subordinates do things right. (Humphreys & Einstein, 2003, Antonakis, et al, 2009, Antonakis & House, 2002,)

#### Idealized influence (behavioural)

According to Harms & Crede (2010) idealized influence (behavioural) refers to charismatic actions by the leader that are based on beliefs, ideas, or values. Humphreys & Einstein (2003) believe that transformational leaders operate out of deeply held personal value systems that include qualities like justice and integrity. By expressing these personal standards, transformational leaders unite their followers and more importantly, they change their followers' goals and beliefs for the better.

#### Individualized consideration

Individualized consideration is the extent to which a leader attends to the needs of his or her followers by providing socio – emotional support. It involves mentoring followers, maintaining regular contact, motivating followers for self – actualization, and providing the requisite empowerment for them. It involves moving along with followers and not moving ahead of them. This is important because leadership is dispositional and not positional. Consequently, individual consideration implicates treating the subordinates as individuals and never just as members of a work group. This transformational leadership trait is exhibited by being compassionate, empathic, and responsive to the subordinates needs by appreciating their attainments.

# Inspirational motivation

Inspiration motivation is related to the degree to which leaders inspire and appeal to followers by establishing challenging targets and expressing optimism and hope as regards target achievement. According to Conger & Kanungo (1988) inspirational motivation and charisma go hand-in-hand. They posit that transformational leaders inspire their subordinates to accomplish great feats by communicating high expectations by using symbols to focus effects and by expressing important purposes. Transformational leaders pay close attention to the individual differences among their subordinates and often act as mentors to them.

#### Intellectual stimulation

Intellectual stimulation occurs when leaders engage in behaviours that make the subordinates to challenge their assumptions, think creatively, take higher risks and act in more intelligent ways. According to Shin, et al, (2003) intellectual stimulation promotes intelligence, rationality, and careful problem-solving abilities. It also involves engaging the rationality of the subordinates, and make them provide answers to their problems by themselves.

# Relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership

Inspite of wide disparities in the various EI models and measurement methods, contemporary interest in the field of EI continues to grow, and more attention is now being directed toward the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. Cherniss & Goleman (2001) state that emotional intelligence contributes to about 90 percent of leadership success. There is a growing number of theoretical evidence that emotional intelligence is positively correlated with transformational leadership (Daus & Ashkanasy, 2005). For example, El competencies like self - awareness, self - regulation, empathy, selfconfidence, transparency, and optimism are critical for transformational leadership, (Goleman, et al. 2002). Emotional management promotes positive effect and confidence in followers, leaders who are self - aware possess a greater than average sense of purpose and meaning and equally people skilled in emotional intelligence frequently put the needs of others ahead of their own individual needs. According to George (2000) emotional appeal is needed by transformational leaders for inspirational motivation of their subordinates. According to Brown et al (2006) adherence to professional or moral standard of behaviour are common aspects of both emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. Barling, et al, (2000) state that emotional intelligence is associated with three dimensions of transformational leadership such as idealized influence, inspirational motivation, and individualized consideration. Gardner and Stough (2002) state that the ability to manage emotions in relationships allows the emotionally intelligent leader to understand followers needs and to react accordingly. Researchers in the area of leadership state that effective transformational leaders must possess social and emotional intelligence. These elements are considered critical to inspire followers and to build strong relationships. Research comparing emotional intelligent and transformational leadership consistently find positive correlations between the two constructs. In a study examining transformational leadership and emotional intelligence in 32 individuals in management positions, Mandell & Pherwani (2003) find that the level of emotional intelligence as measured by the Bar - On (1997) Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ - i) significantly relate to transformational leadership style (R = .50) Also, the MSCEIT (2002) reports a positive correlation between EI and transformational leadership.

# **Hypotheses**

Using emotional intelligence as the index of positive transformational leadership behaviours, the present study hypothesizes thus:

Ho: Emotional intelligence has no significant relationship with transformational leadership.

H<sub>i</sub>: Emotional intelligence has significant relationship with transformational leadership.

There is ample theoretical and empirical evidence of the relationship of emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. But due to differences in measurement and analytical methods leading to differences and confusion in degrees of relationships. The study was designed to explore the degree of relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership through simple correlation analysis.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

# Research design

The research objectives were achieved by employing a survey design. The survey design describes a technique of data collection in which questionnaire were used to collect data about an identified population (Burns & Grove 1993). The design can also be used to assess interrelationships. According to Shaughnessy and Zechmeister (1997), this design is ideal to address the descriptive functions with correlational research.

# **Participants**

The sample comprised of a dyad of 47 managers and subordinates, ranging in age from 18 to 70 years, and an average work experience of 15.5 years, holding current positions for 6.5 years on the average. The participants totaling 94 (male 70%) and (Female 30%) engaged in different industries and occupations were obtained from the general population in Aba, Abia State, Nigeria. The author personally administered the questionnaire on the participants in the various organizations. All the questionnaire copies distributed were retrieved representing 100 percent response rate.

#### Instrument

Data were collected using the composite EI type-scale developed by Schutte, et al, (1998). The scale is comprised of 33, 5 – Point Likert – type scale, with numeric values ranging from 1 strongly disagreed to 5 strongly agreed. Previous investigations find the total scores on the Schutte Self – Report Emotional Intelligence (SSREI) to be acceptably internally consistent at about .90

#### Procedure

Total score was derived by summing up the item responses. Validation included comparison with theoretically related constructs like personality, alexithymia, and emotion. Data were coded using strict coding

procedures and coding sheets to ensure a high level of accuracy and rating agreement. (Jonker & Vosloo, 2010, Harms, & Crede, 2010) Factor analysis was used to convert data to continuous data which is amendable to parametric tests, because they were discrete and measured in an ordinal scale. The resultant scores corresponding with the five dimensions of emotional intelligence and five dimensions of transformational leadership were then used for simple correlation analysis because factor analysis is a means to an end and not an end in itself. (Koutsoyiannis, 2003). Contemporary emotional intelligence researchers such as Modassir & Singh (2008) and Harms and Crede (2010 state that emotional intelligence factors of self – awareness, self – confidence, emotional management, empathy and transparency are positively correlated with transformational leadership style. The data were presented in Table 1

#### Data Analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) was used to carry out Pearson's correlation analysis of data. Simple correlation describes the degree of relationship between two variables. The most common type of correlations are Pearson's  $\underline{r}$  and Phi coefficient. Correlations range – from – 1.00 to 1, with - 1.00 and 1.00 representing perfect negative and positive relationships and 0 representing a lack of relationship between the variables. The results were shown in tables 2 and 3. (Nworuh, 2004)

#### PRESENTATION OF DATA AND RESULTS

Table 1. Emotional Intelligence factor score with Transformational leadership va	riables
--	---------

Variables of interest	Emotional Intelligence factor score	e Transformational leadership (Y)
	(X)	
Self – awareness	2.18580	9.5
Self – confidence	0.10519	8.2
Emotional management	-0.60102	6.0
Empathy	-0.87804	4.4
Transparency	-0.62185	4.9

Source: Coded data of the study, 2014

Table 2 .Descriptive statistics

	Mean	S.D	N	Difference of means	d/f
Emotional intelligence	.04	1.25	5		
Transformational leadership	6.48	2.25	5	P= 0.05	4

Table 3. Correlatio	
	n

Emotional	N	Transformational	d/f
intelligence		leadership	
		.90 <sup>*</sup>	
	5	.02	
.90 <sup>*</sup>			
.02	5		4
	intelligence	Emotional N intelligence 5	intelligence leadership .90° 5 .02 .90°

\*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (1 – tailed)

# **DISCUSSION**

As the result of claims and counter claims as regards the degree of relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership, the study was designed to further contribute to the current debate, using simple correlation analysis. In contrast to the result of Harms & Crede (2010) the result of the present study showed r = .90, suggesting that emotional intelligence has strong positive relationship with transformational leadership. This is the crux of the study. This result supports numerous prior research results, that emotional intelligence account for about 90 percent of leadership effectiveness. (Goleman et al, 2002, Cherniss & Goleman, 2001, Mandell & Pherwani, 2003, Bass & Avolio, 1994). Bennis (1989) finds that emotional intelligence is more important for success than any other asset, including IQ, or technical expertise. Leaders must develop healthy relationships and manage conflict while achieving productive goals. To accomplish this mandate, leaders need emotional intelligent skills to build, maintain and strengthen partnerships within and outside their organizations. Goleman (1995) insists that the effective leaders have a knack for articulating a mission or a goal and knowing how to bring everyone on board to get it accomplished. (Turner, et al, 2002, Mathews, et al, 2002, Piccolo & Colquitt, 2008). This study is associated with the views of Landy (2005) that the reason why some studies find a small increase in predictive validity of emotional intelligence is a methodological fallacy.

#### Implications of the study

The empirical study showed that leaders must embrace the attributes of emotional intelligence to achieve desired results. The result demonstrates the significant impact the individual emotional intelligence of the leader can have on the subordinates for example, self – confidence allows the leader to take decisions without much procrastination, to defy the crowd and move along with the subordinates. Transparency is a fundamental requirement of transformational leadership that is embedded in emotional intelligence. Emotional management helps leaders in properly managing themselves and subordinates with the sole aim of achieving organizational excellence.

#### Limitations and conclusion

The study was constrained by bad road network and lack of research grant, to expand the scope of the study. However, these constraints did not dilute the quality of the study. Despite strong claims in many quarters on the positive relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership, some other researchers still have contrary results, perhaps due to methodological errors. Goleman (1995) drew on a wealth of research to argue that successful leaders need emotional intelligence, or the attributes of self-awareness, impulse control, persistence, confidence, self-motivation, empathy, social deftness, trustworthiness, adaptability and a talent for collaboration. This new study adopted a simple correlational approach, distinct and different from some other methods used by prior researchers, and with the correlation of r = .90, insists that emotional intelligence has strong positive relationship with transformational leadership. This result strongly supports the findings of Goleman and Cherniss that "as much as 90 percent of a leader's success is due to emotional intelligence." This is the crux of the study.

#### **REFERENCES**

- (1999) Full leadership development: Building the vital forces in organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage. , (2002) Cognitive, Social and Emotional intelligence of transformational leaders. Multiple Intelligences and Leadership, pp: 105 – (1989) Why Leaders can't Lead: The Unconscious Conspiracy Continues. San Francisco. Jossey - Bass Publishers. , (1990). Bass and Stog-dill's Handbook of Leadership. Theory, Research and Managerial Applications. New York, The Free (1998) Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology. 8, 9 – 32. (2003) Trait emotional intelligence: Behavioural validation in two studies of Emotion, cognition, and reactivity to mood induction. European Journal of Personality 17, 39 - 75 (1998a) Working with Emotional Intelligence. New York, Bantam Books. (1998b). What makes a good leader? Harvard Business Review, November/December, 93 - 102. , (2001) An El – Based Theory of Performance. In Cherniss, C, & Goleman, D, (Eds). The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace. pp:27 – 45. San Francisco, Jossey – Bass (2006) Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ. 10th Anniversary edition, New York, Bantam Books. (2009) On the Dimensional Structure of Emotional Intelligence. Personality and Individual Differences. 29, 313 – 320 (2006). The Bar - On Model of Emotional - Social Intelligence (ESI) Psicothema, 18, supl; 13 - 25 , (1997) Bar-On Emotional Quotient Inventory. Toronto, Multi Health Systems.

  Abraham, R, (2004), Emotional Competence as antecedent to performance. A contingency framework; Genetic, Social, and General
- Abraham, R, (2004), Emotional Competence as antecedent to performance. A contingency framework; Genetic, Social, and General Psychology Monographs, 130 (2), 117 – 143
- Adair, J, (2003) How to grow leaders: The seven principles of effective leadership development. Kogan Page, London.
- Antonakis J. (2004) On why "emotional intelligence" will not predict leadership effectiveness beyond IQ or the "Big Five". An extension and rejoinder. Organizational Analysis, 12, 171 182.
- Antonakis, J, & House, R, (2002). The full range leadership theory: The way forward Transformational and Charismatic Leadership, 2, 3 33
- Antonakis, J, Ashkanasy, N. & Dashborough, M, (2009) Does leadership need emotional intelligence? The leadership Quarterly, 20, 247 261
- Ashkanasy, N. & Daus, C, (2005) Rumors of the death of emotional intelligence in organizational behaviour are greatly exaggerated. Journal of Organizational Behaviour, 26, 441-452
- Avolio, B, (1994), The natural: Some antecedents to transformational leadership. International Journal of Public Administration, 17, 1559 1581.
- Bar On, R, (1996). The Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ i): A test of emotional intelligence. Toronto: Multi Health Systems.
- Barbuto, J. E., & Burbach, M. E. (2006). The emotional intelligence of transformational leaders: A field study of elected officials. The Journal of Social Psychology, 146, 51 64
- Bardzill, P., & Slaski, M., (2003). Emotional Intelligence: Fundamental competencies for enhanced service provision, Managing Service Quality, 13 (2), 97 104
- Barling, J, Slater, F, & Kelloway, E. K. (2000) Transformational Leadership and Emotional Intelligence: An exploratory study. Leadership and Organization Development Journal, 21, 157 161
- Bass, B, & Avolio, B, (1994). Full range leadership development: Manual for the multi factor leadership questionnaire. Palo Alto, CA: Mind Garden.
- Bass, B. M., (1985) Leadership and performance beyond expectation New York, Free Press
- Bellamy, A. R. & Bellamy, A. R. (2003) Emotional intelligence and transformational leadership: Recursive leadership process within the context of employee work attitudes. Paper presented at the Midwest Academy of Management.
- Bennis, W, (1976) "Leadership: A Beleaguered Specie"? Organizational Dynamics, 5.
- Beshears, R. S. (2004). The ability of emotional intelligence to predict transformational leadership when personality, affect, and cognitive ability are controlled Unpublished Dissertation. Wayne State University, Detroit. MI

Bono, J. & Judge, T, (2004). Personality and transformational and transactional leadership. A meta - analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 89, 901 - 910

Boyatzis, R. E, Goleman, D., & Hay/McBer, (1999) Emotional Competence Inventory. Boston, Hay Group.

Boyatzis, R. E. (1994) Stimulating self - directed learning through the management assessment and development course. Journal of Management Education, 18 (3) 304 - 323

Brody, N. (2004). What cognitive intelligence is and what emotional intelligence is not\. Psychological Inquiry, 15, 234 – 238.

Brown, F. W, & Moshavi, D, (2005) Transformational leadership and Emotional Intelligence: A potential pathway for an increased understanding of interpersonal influence. Journal of Organizational Behavior. 76, 867 - 871

Brown, F. W. Bryant, S. E & Reilly, M. D. (2006) Does emotional intelligence – as measured by the EQ – i – influence transformational leadership and/or desirable outcomes?. Leadership and Organization Development Journal, 27, 330 – 351

Burns, N, & Grove, S.K (2005) The practice of nursing research, conduct, critique, and utilization, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Philadelphia, Saunders

Carmeli, A, (2003) The relationship between emotional intelligence, work attitudes, behaviour and outcomes. An examination among Senior

Managers. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 18(8), 788-813.

Caruso, D. R, Mayor, J. D, & Salovey, P, (2002). Relation of an ability measure of emotional intelligence to personality. Journal of Personality Assessment. 79 (2), 306 – 320

Cherniss, C, (2000) Emotional Intelligence: What it is and Why it Matters. The Consortium for Research on Emotional Intelligence in Organizations. Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, New Orleans, L. A, April 15, 2000.

Cherniss, C, and Goleman, D, (2001) The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace, San Francisco, Jossey - Bass

Conger, J.A & Kanungo, R. (1988) Charismatic Leadership: The elusive factor in Organizational Effectiveness, San Francisco, Jossev-Bass Cote, G, & Miners, C. T. H, (2006) Emotional Intelligence, cognitive intelligence and job performance. Administrative Science Quarterly, 51(1), pp. 1-28

Daus, C. & Askanasy, N, (2005) The case for the ability based model of emotional intelligence in organizational behaviour. Journal of Organizational Behaviour, 26,453-460

Gardner, H, (1983), Frames of Mind: A Theory of Multiple Intelligences. New York, Basic Books.

Gardner, L, & Stough, C, (2002) Examining the relationship between leadership and emotional intelligence in Senior level managers. Leadership & Organizational Development Journal, 23(2) 68-78

George, J. M. (2000) Emotions and Leadership: The role of emotional intelligence. Human Relations, 53(8), 1027-1054.

Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence. Why It Can Matter More Than IQ. New York, Bantam books.

Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R. S & Mekee A, (2002) Primal Leadership: Realizing the Power of Emotional Intelligence, Boston, Harvard Business School Press, pp:39

Harms, P. D, & Crede, M, (2010) Emotional Intelligence and Transformational and Transactional leadership: A meta analysis. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies 17:1, pp. 5 - 17

Hayashi, A & Ewert A. (2006) Outdoor leaders' emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. Journal of Experimental Education 28.222 - 242

Hoffman, B. J, & Frost, B. C. (2006) Multiple intelligences of transformational leaders: An empirical investigation. International Journal of Man power, 27, 37 - 51

Humphreys, J. & Einstein, W. (2005) Nothing new Under The Sun; Transformational Leadership from Historical Perspective, Management Decision, 41 (1), 85 - 95

Jonker, C. S, and Vosloo, C. (2010) The Psychometric Properties of the Schutte Emotional Intelligence Scale. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology. Vol. 34, No. 2, pp:21-20

Kent, T, Crotts J, & Aziz, A, (2001) Four factors of transformational leadership behaviour Leadership & Organization Development. Journal, 22 (5), 221 – 229

Kontsoyiannis, A, (2003) Theory of Econometrics, 2nd Edition, New York, Palgrave

Kreitner, R, & Kinicki, A, (2004) Organizational Behaviour. 6th Edition. New York, McGraw-Hill.

Landy, F. (2005) Some historical and scientific issues related to research on emotional intelligence. Journal of Organizational Behaviour, 26. 411 - 424

Locke, E, (2005) Why emotional intelligence is an invalid concept. Journal of Organizational Behaviour 26, 425 – 431

Mandell, B, & Phevwani, S, (2003) Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Transformational Leadership Style: A gender comparison. Journal of Business and Psychology. 17 (3) 387 – 404

Matthews, G, Zeidner, M, & Roberts, R (2002) Emotional Intelligence. Science and Myth. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press

Maxwell, J. C., (2005) The 360° Leader. Tennessee, Thomas Nelson, Inc.

Mayer, J. D, & Salovey, P (1997) What is Emotional Intelligence? Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications. New York, Basic Books.

Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P, & Caruso, D. R. (2002) The Mayer, Salovey, Caruso, Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT): Users, Manual. Toronto: Multi - Health Systems

Modassir, A, & Singh, T. (2008) Relationship of emotional intelligence with transformational leadership and organizational citizenship behaviour. International Journal of Leadership Studies. Vol. 4, issue, 1, pp. 3 - 21

Nworuh, G. E. (2004) Basic Research Methodology for Researchers and Trainers in Management Sciences. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Owerri, Ambix Printers Nigeria.

Payne W. L., (1983) A study of Emotion. Developing emotional intelligence. Dissertation Abstracts International 47, pp:23A

Petrides, K. V, & Kokkinaki, F, (2007) The location of trait emotional intelligence in personality factor space. British Journal of Psychology,

Petrides, K., V, & Furnham A, (2001) Trait emotional intelligence: Psychometric investigation with reference to established taxonomies. European Journal of Personality, 15, 425 - 448.

Piccolo, R. E., & Colgnitt, R. J. (2008) Transformational leadership and job behaviours: The mediating role of core job characteristics, Academy of Management Journal, 49 (2), 327 - 340.

Roberts, R. D., (2001) Does emotional intelligence meet traditional standards for intelligence? Some new data and conclusions. Emotion; 1, 196 -231

Salovey, P. & Mayer, J. D. (1990) Emotional intelligence, Imaginations, Cognition, and Personality, 9 (3), 185 – 211

Schutte, N. S. Malouff, J. M, Hall, I. E, Haggerty, D. J, Cooper, J. T, Golden, C. & Dornheim, L, (1998) Development and validation of a measure of emotional intelligence. Personality and Individual Differences, 25 (2), 167 - 177

Shaughnessy, J. J, & Zechmeister, E. B, (1997) Research methods in Psychology. 4th edition. New York, McGraw - Hill.

Shin, S. J., & Zhou, J, (2003) Transformational leadership, conservation, and creativity. Evidence from Korea. Academy of Management, Journal 46 (6), 703 - 714

- Smith, L, Ciarrochi, J, & Heaven, P. C. L, (2008) The stability and change of trait emotional intelligence, conflict communication patterns and relationship satisfaction: A one-year longitudinal study. Personality and Individual Differences, 45, 738-743

  Turner, N, Barling, J, Epitropski, O, Butcher, V, Milner, C, (2002) Transformational leadership and moral reasoning. Journal of Applied
- psychology, 87, 304 311

  Vernon, P. A, Petrides, K, V, Bratko, D. & Schermer, J. A, (2008) A behavioural genetic study of trait emotional intelligence. Emotion, 8,
- 635-642
- Zeidner, M, Matthews, G. & Roberts, R. D (2004) Emotional intelligence in the workplace: A critical review. Applied Psychology, 53 (3), 371